Evidence for As contamination and the partitioning of Pd into pentlandite and Co + platinum-group elements into pyrite in the Fazenda Mirabela Intrusion, Brazil.
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Abstract

The Fazenda Mirabela intrusion in Brazil hosts two zones of mineralization, the Santa Rita Ni-Cu-sulfide ore zone occurring predominantly in an orthopyroxenite layer, and an underlying basal platinum-group element (PGE) anomaly hosted in S-poor dunite. We show that in the northern and southern (marginal) zones of the intrusion, (Pt,Pd,Ni,Cu)(Fe,Bi,Te)2 minerals are accompanied by As-bearing platinum group minerals (PGM) sperrylite (PtAs2), and irarsite ([RhIrPt]AsS). These As-bearing PGM are extremely rare in the central zone of the intrusion, suggesting that As has been introduced into the margins of the intrusion from the country rocks via crustal assimilation or syn-magmatic hydrothermal processes. Other PGM and precious metal minerals (PMM) including hessite (Ag2Te), Pd-Ag tellurides, electrum, and native Au are observed in the Santa Rita ore zone and do not show any lateral variation. In the semimetal-poor, S-poor dunite hosting the basal PGE anomaly, PGE are predominantly retained in the base metal sulfides and only minor PGE alloys are present. In this S-poor dunite Pt, Au and semimetals partitioned into a fractionated Cu-rich liquid which formed during the crystallization of monosulfide solid solution (MSS) and was largely removed by high temperature magmatic fluids. Palladium was not affected by this process and significant concentrations of Pd are identified in pentlandite, suggesting that Pd preferentially partitioned into MSS as it crystallized and subsequently pentlandite as it exsolved. Pyrite in the Santa Rita ore zone is Co-rich and contains higher concentrations of IPGE (Os, Ir, Ru) and Rh than pyrrhotite and pentlandite, suggesting that pyrite has not replaced and inherited the PGE concentrations of these sulfides. The Pd-poor nature of pyrite also indicates that it has not replaced Pd-rich pentlandite. It is proposed that the IPGE and Co preferentially partitioned into pyrite as it exsolved from MSS.
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Introduction

The Fazenda Mirabela ultramafic-mafic layered intrusion located in Bahia State, north-eastern Brazil (Fig. 1), hosts the stratiform Santa Rita Ni-Cu-sulfide ore zone (Barnes et al., 2011; Inwood et al., 2011). This ore zone varies in thickness up to 200 m and is...
situated within the upper part of the ultramafic sequence, close to the boundary with the
magnetic sequence, and hosts appreciable concentrations of platinum-group elements (PGE) with
Pt + Pd typically between 0.1-0.5 ppm. A second zone of sulfur-poor PGE mineralization
underlies the Santa Rita ore zone and is referred to herein as the ‘basal PGE anomaly’
following the nomenclature of Barnes et al. (2011).

The Santa Rita ore zone has extremely high Ni tenors while remaining relatively
PGE-poor. The formation of this deposit and its Ni-rich nature has been attributed to the
prolonged mixing of an initially magnesian, moderately Ni-enriched resident “M-type
magma” close to sulfide saturation, with a relatively Ni-PGE-depleted and cooler
replenishing “G-type magma” charged with suspended sulfide liquid droplets (Barnes et al.,
2011). A contributing factor in forming high Ni tenors may be the reaction between the
sulfide liquid and coexisting olivine in an environment where both phases equilibrate with a
large reservoir of silicate magma; furthermore, higher Ni content in the sulfide liquid gives
rise to an increased tendency for Ni to partition into sulfide from adjacent olivine, forming a
positive feedback mechanism (Barnes et al., 2011).

The Fazenda Mirabela intrusion has been divided into three zones for mining
purposes; central, southern, and northern (Fig. 2). The platinum-group mineralogy of 21
samples from two boreholes in the central zone of the intrusion has been documented by
Knight et al. (2011). They identified Pt-Pd-Ni tellurides accompanied by Ag tellurides, minor
electrum, and native Au in both the Santa Rita ore zone and the underlying basal PGE
anomaly, however, they also recognized a localized PGE alloy assemblage dominated by Pd-
Cu alloys in samples from the basal PGE anomaly in one borehole. Here we build on this
study by documenting the platinum-group minerals (PGM) and precious metal minerals
(PMM) in samples from four new boreholes; two from the northern zone and two from the
southern zone of the intrusion. Laser ablation (LA)-ICP-MS and whole-rock geochemical
analyses have been completed on samples from the northern and southern zones as well as
those from the central zone studied by Knight et al. (2011). These combined data fully
characterize the PGE mineralization in the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion and are used to
explain some unusual features of the Santa Rita ore zone and the underlying basal PGE
anomaly.

Regional and Local Geology

Regional geology

The Fazenda Mirabela intrusion is part of a cluster of ultramafic-mafic complexes
located in the southern portion of the Paleoproterozoic Itabuna-Salvador-Curaça belt. The
latter comprises a low-K calc-alkaline plutonic suite formed during the collision of Archean
blocks during the ~2.15-2.05 Ga Transamazonian orogeny (Barbosa and Sabaté, 2004). The
immediate country rocks to the intrusion are comprised of a supracrustal sequence of gneisses
and minor metamorphosed banded iron formations, metabasic sills, as well as a deformed
sequence of granulite facies charnockite and enderbite orthogneisses (Fig. 1; Barbosa et al.,
2003). The intrusion of the largely unaltered and undeformed Fazenda Mirabela and Palestina
igneous bodies (~2065 Ma; Ferreira Filho et al., 2013) postdates the regional granulite facies
metamorphism of the country rocks (2086 ± 7 Ma; Peucat et al., 2011).
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Stratigraphy of the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion

The north-easterly dipping Fazenda Mirabela intrusion covers a surface area of ~7 km² and consists primarily of a lower ultramafic sequence exposed to the west and an upper mafic sequence exposed to the east (Fig. 2). Individual layers become progressively thinner towards the southern and northern borders (Ferreira Filho et al., 2013). The stratigraphic base of the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion is a reversely differentiated sequence comprising ~90 m of gabbronorite overlain by ~150 m of orthopyroxenite. These units are overlain by the ultramafic sequence (~750 m thick), consisting of ~600 m of dunite followed by a ~ 150 m sequence of harzburgite, olivine orthopyroxenite, and orthopyroxenite that is capped by a thin 1-2 m websterite unit. The thickness of individual layers in the upper portion of the ultramafic sequence is variable and characterized by interlayered rock types (Ferreira Filho et al., 2013). This is overlain by the mafic sequence (~1300 m thick) comprised of monotonous gabbronorite. The intrusion shows no evidence of the high-grade metamorphism and deformation that has affected the surrounding country rocks, but has been cross-cut by late minor dolerite and felsic pegmatitic dykes. Significant laterization has occurred at the surface of the intrusion, particularly over the lower (or western) ultramafic sequence (Inwood et al., 2011).

Mineralization

The Santa Rita Ni-Cu-(PGE) sulfide orebody is a semi-continuous stratabound zone of disseminated sulfides situated within the upper ~100 m of the ultramafic sequence, predominantly in the orthopyroxenite unit (excluding the uppermost part of this lithology), extending down into the upper part of the harzburgite (Barnes et al., 2011). The orebody varies in thickness and in its exact position within the igneous stratigraphy from the north to the south of the intrusion. The ore zone occurs as a single well-defined layer ~50 m thick in the northern part of the intrusion and transitions into a thick (up to ~200 m) discontinuous zone at the southern margin of the intrusion while transgressing upwards through the ultramafic stratigraphy (Barnes et al., 2011). The ore zone typically consists of 0.5-3.0 vol. % disseminated sulfides, and is PGE bearing with Pt + Pd concentrations typically between 0.1-0.5 ppm (Fig. 3). The base metal sulfide (BMS) assemblage is dominated by pentlandite (~50%) which is accompanied by variable but progressively lesser amounts of pyrite, pyrrhotite, and chalcopyrite. The combined proven and probable reserves of the Santa Rita deposit as of 31 December 2010 stood at 159 million metric tons at 0.52 wt. % Ni, 0.13 wt. % Cu, 0.015 wt. % Co, and 86 ppb Pt (Mirabela Nickel Ltd., Annual Report, 2011).

Primary magmatic minerals and textures are well preserved (Fig. 4). Two main types of sulfide morphology are observed: i) BMS occurring interstitially to olivine and orthopyroxene crystals (Fig. 4A-B), and ii) small fine grained sulfides which are intergrown with fine grained intercumulus silicate phases (plagioclase, clinopyroxene and phlogopite). Interstitial sulfides exhibit low dihedral angles, but more commonly have rounded terminations that were previously noted by Barnes et al. (2011) who also described the silicate mineralogy and chemistry in greater detail. Interstitial sulfide blebs (typically ~1 mm across) consist of cores of pentlandite, usually intergrown with euhedral pyrite (Fig. 4C),
partially surrounded by chalcopyrite and pyrrhotite. Minor BMS are also observed within the separated cleavage planes of orthopyroxene crystals.

Sulfide stringers composed of pentlandite, pyrrhotite, and chalcopyrite are observed extending from interstitial sulfides. These are often only a few microns wide and are commonly aligned, giving rise to a pseudo-fabric within the rock. Minor localized patches and veins of post-magmatic serpentinisation are observed in the Santa Rita ore zone and in some cases these are associated with the BMS stringers.

A zone of S-poor (<0.1 wt. % S) PGE mineralization forming the basal PGE anomaly is observed in the upper part of the dunite, underlying the Santa Rita ore zone (Fig. 3). This dunite displays a decoupling of S and PGE, with Pt and Pd tenors for this zone calculated to be significantly higher (~20 ppm for both Pt and Pd) than those of the relatively BMS-rich Santa Rita ore zone (Barnes et al., 2011). The basal PGE anomaly typically occurs between 50-75 m below the base of the Santa Rita ore zone and is observed in the central zone and southern zone boreholes; the depth of the northern zone boreholes is not sufficient to intersect this anomaly. The sulfides are finely disseminated forming small (< 150 µm across) interstitial crystals dominated by pentlandite accompanied by minor chalcopyrite, with pyrrhotite and pyrite virtually absent (Fig. 4D). Micro-scale sulfide-silicate graphic textures that resemble symplectites are commonly observed (Fig. 4E-F) and are often associated with phlogopite.

**Sampling and Analytical Methods**

Drill core from four boreholes was sampled; two from the northern zone (MBS209 and MBS158; samples PTSR26-49) and two from the southern zone (MBS565 and MBS569; samples PTSR50-72). Borehole locations and additional information are given in Figure 2 and Table 1, respectively. Sampling was completed with the aid of mine assay data to identify samples with the highest PGE contents and was extended ~100 m above and below the defined economic limits of the Santa Rita ore zone in order to sample all lithologies present in the intrusion, and the basal PGE anomaly where intersected.

A total of 47 samples were collected; 12 from each borehole sampled, except for MBS565 where only 11 samples were taken as a sample of gabbronorite could not be obtained from this hole. The silicate and sulfide mineralogy of all samples were characterized using transmitted and reflected light microscopy of polished thin sections. Detailed analysis of the platinum-group mineralogy was conducted on 40 samples; gabbronorite and websterite samples were not studied given their low PGE concentrations. Platinum-group and precious metal minerals and their associated sulfide, silicate, and oxide minerals were identified and analyzed using a Cambridge Instruments (now Carl Zeiss NTS) S360 scanning electron microscope (SEM). Polished thin sections were searched systematically for PGM using the SEM set at a magnification of 100x. Quantitative analyses of the larger PGM (> 0.3 x 0.3 µm) were obtained using an Oxford Instruments INCA Energy EDX analyzer attached to the SEM. Operating conditions for the quantitative analyses were 20 kV, with a specimen calibration current of ~1 nA and a working distance of 25 mm. A cobalt reference standard was regularly analyzed in order to check for any drift in the analytical conditions. A comprehensive set of standards obtained from MicroAnalysis Consultants Ltd. (St Ives,
Cambridgeshire) were used to calibrate the EDX analyzer. Semi-quantitative analyses of smaller PGM (< 0.3 x 0.3 µm) were determined by accounting for elements derived from the host minerals. Images were obtained using a four-quadrant back-scattered detector operating at 20 kV, a beam current of ~500 pA, and a working distance of 13 mm, under which conditions, magnifications of up to 15000x are possible.

Commercial mine assay data were obtained from one meter composite intervals of diamond drill core by ALS Chemex Ltd., Vancouver, Canada. Fire assay was used to determine Au, Pt, and Pd by ICP-MS finish while multi-element ICP-MS was used to obtain data for the other elements reported here.

Whole-rock PGE (excluding Os) and Au data were determined by Actlabs via Ni-fire assay for all samples from the northern and southern zones (PTSR26-72) as well as samples from the central zone (PTSR01-25 from boreholes MBS604 and MBS605; Fig. 2) studied by Knight et al. (2011). Semimetal concentrations (As, Bi, Sb, Te) were determined by aqua regia digest and ICP-MS finish by Actlabs for samples PTSR26-72 from the northern and southern zones of the intrusion.

A selection of 31 samples from all six boreholes studied (central, northern and southern zones) from both the Santa Rita ore zone and the underlying basal PGE anomaly were analyzed using LA-ICP-MS at Cardiff University. This was carried out using a New Wave Research UP213 UV laser system coupled to a Thermo X Series 2 ICP-MS. Platinum-group elements and other elements were determined in time-resolved analysis mode (time slices of 350 ms) as the laser beam followed a line designed to sample different sulfide phases. The beam diameter employed was 30 µm, with a frequency of 10 Hz, and the sample was translated at 6 µm/s relative to the laser. Acquisitions lasted between 80-400 s, and a gas blank was measured for 30-40 s prior to analysis. The internal standard used was $^{33}$S and this was measured for the analyzed sulfides quantitatively using the SEM. Subtraction of gas blanks and internal standard corrections were performed using Thermo PlasmaLab software.

In order to show that these analyses represent PGE in solid solution, laser traces that indicated higher than average Te or As concentrations coupled with anomalously high PGE have been discounted from the data set as it is likely that PGM (predominantly Te- or As-bearing PGM) were intercepted in these cases. Similarly, analyses have also been discounted where the time resolved spectra have clearly indicated the presence of PGM or PGM micro-inclusions.

Calibration was performed using five synthetic Ni-Fe-S standards prepared from quenched sulfides. The standards incorporate S, Ni, Fe, and Cu as major elements and Co, Zn, As, Se, Ru, Rh, Pd, Ag, Cd, Sb, Te, Re, Os, Ir, Pt, Au, and Bi as trace elements. The compositions of these sulfide standards are given in Prichard et al. (2013). The standards produce five point calibration curves for S, Ni, and Fe. Standards 1, 4, and 5 produce three point calibration curves for the PGE, Ag, Cd, Re, Au, and semimetals. Standards 1-3 produce three point calibration curves for Cu, Co, and Zn, and reliable matrix-matched corrections for argide species ($^{59}$Co$^{40}$Ar, $^{61}$Ni$^{40}$Ar, $^{63}$Cu$^{40}$Ar, $^{65}$Cu$^{40}$Ar, $^{66}$Zn$^{40}$Ar) that interfere with light PGE isotopes. Corrections for $^{106}$Cd on $^{106}$Pd and $^{108}$Cd on $^{108}$Pd were determined using Standard 1. Where independent corrections have been applied to different isotopes of the same element (e.g., $^{66}$Zn$^{40}$Ar on $^{106}$Pd and $^{108}$Cd on $^{108}$Pd) the independently corrected values vary by <20% (and commonly <5%) indicating that these corrections are robust. The
accuracy of the LA-ICP-MS procedure for PGE was checked by the analysis of the
Laflamme-Po724 standard run as an unknown against the Cardiff sulfide standards at the start
and end of each day.

Results

Whole-rock PGE and semimetal concentrations

Three distinct patterns are shown in the Fazenda Mirabela whole-rock PGE data
plotted as chondrite normalized profiles, using the values given in Lodders (2003). They all
have positive trends but exhibit variations in Pd, Pt, and Au concentrations. Pattern A is
defined by negative Pd anomalies and is typically observed in orthopyroxenite, olivine
orthopyroxenite, and harzburgite samples from the Santa Rita ore zone. Pattern B is defined
by positive slopes without any significant anomalies and occurs primarily in harzburgite
samples in the transition zone between the Santa Rita ore zone and the underlying basal PGE
anomaly. Pattern C is defined by positive Pd and negative Au (and Pt) anomalies and
typically occurs in samples from the basal PGE anomaly in the S-poor dunite. It is not
feasible to show all whole-rock PGE patterns for every sample analyzed, so an example is
given using the samples from borehole MBS569 in the southern zone in conjunction with a
stratigraphic section showing the locations of the samples and where these different PGE
patterns occur in the Fazenda Mirabela stratigraphy (Fig. 5).

Data from the central and southern zone boreholes is shown graphically using two
plots of Pt/Pd versus Pd/Ru and Au/Pd versus Pd/Ru (Fig. 6); northern zone boreholes have
been omitted as the stratigraphy is slightly more complex. These plots demonstrate that S-
poor dunite samples from the basal PGE anomaly have low Pt/Pd and Au/Pd ratios, whereas
samples above this zone, including the Santa Rita ore zone, have high Pt/Pd and Au/Pd ratios.

Whole-rock semimetal concentrations (As, Bi, Sb, and Te) for all samples studied
from southern boreholes MBS565 and MBS569 (Table 2) reveal that Bi and Sb
concentrations are very low and considered insignificant in the majority of samples studied.
However, meaningful As and Te concentrations are noted and these correlate closely with S
(Fig. 7). An understanding of the distribution of the whole rock concentrations of these
semiimetals throughout the intrusion is important as they control the PGE mineralogy.

Platinum-group and precious metal mineralogy

More than 500 platinum-group and precious metal minerals have been documented in
the northern and southern zones of the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion (Table 3) and quantitative
analyses of these PGM have been undertaken where possible (Table 4). A further 217 PGM
and PMM were identified in the central zone of the intrusion (Knight et al., 2011). Three
distinct PGM assemblages are observed in the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion which are
described below. The location of all three PGM assemblages is provided in Figure 8.

Marginal assemblage
The marginal PGM assemblage is observed in the northern and southern zones of the intrusion and consists of (Pt,Pd,Ni,Cu)(Fe,Bi,Te)$_2$ minerals and As-bearing PGM, predominately sperrylite (PtAs$_2$) and members of the hollingworthite-irarsite-platarsite solid solution series ([RhIrPt]AsS). Minor Ag-Pd-Te minerals, electrum ± Fe-Cu, and native Au grains are observed, with accessory hessite (Ag$_2$Te) common.

Throughout the Santa Rita ore zone, the BMS occur interstitially to the silicates (Fig. 4A-B) with stringers composed of pentlandite, pyrrhotite, and chalcopyrite that extend from the interstitial BMS into the silicates along grain boundaries and occasionally along separated cleavage planes in orthopyroxene. Platinum-group minerals occur within BMS, on their margins, and in BMS stringers. The BMS stringers host both PGE-bearing tellurides (Fig. 9E-F) and sperrylite (Fig. 10D-E). These stringers connect to interstitial composite sulfides and PGM are observed both proximally (Fig. 9F and 10D) and distally (Fig. 9E and 10E) to the interstitial sulfides. The stringers are roughly aligned producing a pseudo-fabric in the rock and may be enclosed by serpentine in some occurrences (Fig. 9E).

Despite the presence of arsenides, the most common PGM (n=322) identified in the marginal assemblage belong to the (Pt,Pd,Ni)(Fe,Bi,Te)$_2$ solid solution series (Table 3) and vary in size from 0.5-639.6 µm$^2$ (avg. 30.1 µm$^2$). In the absence of Fe and Bi, these PGM are merenskyite (PdTe$_2$), moncheite, (PtTe$_2$), and melonite (NiTe$_2$). The proportions of Pt:Pd:Ni in these PGM have been plotted on a ternary diagram using semi-quantitative atomic weight data collected using the SEM (Fig. 11). This plot shows the abundance of Ni-dominant PGM which is in keeping with the high Ni content of the system as demonstrated by the proportion of pentlandite to other sulfide phases. These PGE-bearing tellurides are typically rounded (Fig. 9A-B) or lath shaped (Fig. 9C-D) with the majority associated with BMS (Fig. 13). These PGM are observed enclosed by a single sulfide phase (Fig. 9A-B), crossing sulfide phase boundaries (Fig. 9C), and as laths at the edge of BMS and in contact with adjacent silicates (Fig. 9D). In contrast to the central zone, Cu-bearing tellurides are observed (CuTe) and Cu also substitutes for Pt, Pd, and Ni in some PGM (Table 4).

The major difference in the platinum-group mineralogy between the margins of the intrusion and the central zone is the significant increase in As-bearing PGM observed at the margins. Sperrylite crystals are relatively abundant, with 69 identified in the northern and southern zones compared to four in the central zone. They typically range in size between 0.8-463.3 µm$^2$ with smaller crystals occurring more regularly. The largest sperrylite identified falls outside of this range and is ~1.5 mm$^2$. Sperrylite is commonly situated within, or closely associated with the BMS (Fig. 10A-D) with only 20% of identified grains observed wholly within silicate or oxide phases. These PGM are euhedral with tabular forms when not hindered by the host sulfide.

Platinum-group minerals that belong to the hollingworthite-irarsite-platarsite solid solution series ([RhIrPt]AsS) have also been identified (Fig. 10F): 18 in the northern and southern zones compared to three in the central zone. Ten are Ir-dominant, all of which are Pt-bearing. Five are Pt-dominant, four of which are Ir-bearing, and one is Rh-bearing. The remaining three are Rh-dominant and are slightly more complex with the addition of Os (Rh-Ir-Pt-As-S, Rh-Pt-Os-As-S, Rh-Pt-Os-Ir-As-S). The size range of these PGM is relatively small, between 0.6-7.7 µm$^2$, with only one crystal larger than this range identified at 14.4 µm$^2$. The majority of these PGM (85%) are located at the edge of, or within BMS (Fig. 10F),
with only four identified in silicates; two of which retain a close association with sulfides (Fig. 13).

Two types of silver tellurides are observed, hessite which is common, and rarer Ag-Pd-Te. Hessite crystals range in size from 0.3-248.9 µm$^2$ (avg. 16.2 µm$^2$). These tellurides are typically subhedral-anhedral with a minority exhibiting lath crystal forms. They are predominantly observed within BMS (Fig. 12A-B) and rarely in silicates. The Ag-Pd-Te crystals exhibit the same behavior and crystal forms as hessite, however, they are less common and smaller, between 0.7-17.5 µm$^2$ (avg. 5.3 µm$^2$).

Several different types of Au-bearing alloys have been identified which are commonly associated with BMS and consist of electrum, Au-Cu, Au-Ag-(Cu-Fe) (Fig. 12C), and native Au (Fig. 12D). These Au-bearing alloys are typically anhedral and the majority range in size between 0.4-126.7 µm$^2$ (avg. 13.6 µm$^2$).

Five Pt-S minerals have been identified which are extremely variable in size between 4-110 µm$^2$. They exhibit subhedral-euhedral crystal forms including three which are lath shaped. Three are situated within BMS while the remaining two are found in a silicate and oxide phase, respectively. Rare PGE-bearing alloys have also been identified which include one of each of the following; Pd-Cu, Ru-Os, Pt-Fe, and Pt-Ir. These PGE alloys are relatively small (<6 µm$^2$) and are all located within BMS.

Central zone assemblage

The central zone assemblage contains predominantly \((\text{Pt,Pd,Ni})(\text{Fe,Bi,Te})_2\) accompanied by accessory hessite \((\text{Ag}_2\text{Te})\), rare electrum and native Au grains observed in both the Santa Rita ore zone and the basal PGE anomaly in the underlying S-poor dunite in one borehole only (MBS605) (Knight et al., 2011). Platinum-group and precious metal mineral sizes, textures, and mineral associations are identical to the same minerals described in the marginal zones above. The main difference in PGM between the central zone and the northern and southern zones of the intrusion is the almost complete absence of As-bearing PGM in the central zone.

Localized PGE alloy assemblage

In the basal PGE anomaly hosted in the S-poor dunite and underlying the Santa Rita ore zone, a localized PGE alloy assemblage is observed only in one borehole (MBS604) in the central zone. This assemblage comprises predominately Pd-Cu-(Pb) alloys (Fig. 12E-F) accompanied by minor PGE-bearing arsenides (Knight et al., 2011). The PGM are associated with pentlandite and chalcopyrite, effectively the only two sulfide phases present in the S-poor dunite zone. PGM exhibit subhedral-euhedral forms where they are associated with unaltered fresh magmatic sulfides as in the Santa Rita ore zone. However, PGM are also associated with BMS exhibiting micro-scale sulfide-silicate graphic textures resembling symplectites, and in these cases the PGM are typically situated at the crystal edges and exhibit anhedral crystal forms (Fig. 12E-F).
PGM abundance and size distribution

The difference in mineralogy between the central zone (excluding the Pd-Cu alloy assemblage) and the margins of the intrusion are illustrated by pie charts based on both the number of each PGM and PMM type identified, and the combined surface area each PGM and PMM type identified (Fig. 14A-D); the Pd-Cu alloy assemblage is plotted separately (Fig. 14E-F). These plots demonstrate the significant variation in As-bearing PGM across the intrusion and the dominance of PGE alloys in the localized Pd-Cu alloy assemblage.

The abundance and size of PGM and PMM identified in the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion, including data from the central zone of the intrusion, varies between the Santa Rita ore zone and the underlying basal PGE anomaly in the S-poor dunite, regardless of which PGM assemblage is present. In the Santa Rita ore zone, PGM and PMM are larger, typically ranging between 1-24.9 µm² and are more abundant with ~17 PGM and PMM identified per sample (thin section) studied (Fig. 15). In the underlying S-poor dunite, the PGM and PMM are smaller, with >80% of those identified < 8.9 µm². They are also much less abundant with only ~5 PGM and PMM identified per sample (thin section) studied (Fig. 15).

Laser ablation-ICP-MS

Laser ablation analyses of the sulfides show the presence of PGE-poor BMS in the Santa Rita ore zone, and PGE-rich BMS in the basal PGE anomaly in the S-poor dunite (Table 5; Fig. 16).

Santa Rita ore zone

In the Santa Rita ore zone, the BMS host very low concentrations of PGE in solid solution. In both chalcopyrite and pyrrhotite, the average concentrations of all six PGE individually are <1 ppm. The highest Rh and Pt concentrations recorded in any sulfide phase are 1.1 ppm (pentlandite) and 1.6 ppm (pyrrhotite), respectively. Osmium concentrations can be relatively high (up to 4.5 ppm), however, these values are rare as evidenced by a low average value of <1 ppm. Pentlandite is the principal host of the PGE, with Pd concentrations of up to 13 ppm and an average value of 2.3 ppm; pentlandite also hosts low but significant average concentrations of Os (0.52 ppm) and Ru (0.91 ppm). More unusual is the identification of Os, Ir, Ru, and Rh in pyrite (Fig. 16A), with concentrations of up to 2.2 ppm Os, 1.0 ppm Ir, 3.7 ppm Ru, and 0.8 ppm Rh recorded, and average values of 0.6 ppm, 0.2 ppm, 0.8 ppm, and 0.1, respectively. Furthermore, pyrite also consistently hosts significant concentrations of cobalt, between 0.8-3.2 wt. %. Time resolved spectra show that concentrations of these PGE and Co in pyrite are not the result of intersecting discrete PGM or other mineral phases (Fig. 17A).

Basal PGE anomaly

The BMS analyzed from the S-poor dunite host very high concentrations of PGE. Pentlandite is the most PGE-enriched sulfide in these samples hosting high average concentrations of all six PGE (Fig. 16B); 4.2 ppm Os, 1.7 ppm Ir, 6.3 ppm Ru, 4.3 ppm Rh,
4.3 ppm Pt, and 42.5 ppm Pd. The highest concentrations identified are as follows; 38.3 ppm Os, 28.7 ppm Ir, 59.1 ppm Ru, 36.1 ppm Rh, 254 ppm Pd, and 28.9 ppm Pt. Time resolved spectra show that high concentrations of Pd, Ir, and particularly Pt in pentlandite are not the result of intersecting discrete PGM or other mineral phases (Fig. 17B).

In the basal PGE anomaly, chalcopyrite is the least PGE enriched sulfide and contains low average concentrations (<1 ppm) of Os, Ir, Ru, Rh, and Pt, but significant average concentrations of Pd (8.8 ppm). One of two pyrite crystals analyzed contains significant concentrations of IPGE (6 ppm Os, 2.5 ppm Ir, and 5.6 ppm Ru) while being poor in Rh, Pt, and Pd, however, the second pyrite crystal analyzed is generally PGE-poor with individual PGE concentrations all <1 ppm. These results are not statistically significant as only three chalcopyrite crystals and two pyrite crystals were analyzed due to the small size and rarity of these phases, respectively.

In many cases, quantitative analyses of individual sulfide phases could not be completed in this zone due to the small size of the sulfides and the resulting overlap of signals from adjacent silicates and oxides. Where interstitial sulfides were ablated, the signals of more than one sulfide phase often overlapped and could not be distinguished from one another to allow for the quantification of PGE in each individual phase. Therefore, average PGE concentrations were determined from the combination of two or three sulfide phases where signals from silicate and oxides minerals were absent. In these cases, the analyses are reported as BMS mixtures, most commonly a mixture of pentlandite and chalcopyrite (e.g., Pn-Cpy; Table 5). These composite sulfide analyses have been ignored in the Santa Rita ore zone for clarity, where all sulfide phases are large and relatively common, but have been included for the S-poor dunite samples given their significant PGE content and the rarity of relatively large mono-phase sulfides in this zone.

Average concentrations of PGE in pentlandite-chalcopyrite BMS mixtures are relatively high at 1.2 ppm Os, 3.3 ppm Ru, 2.2 ppm Rh, 2.6 ppm Pt and 42.4 ppm Pd, with ranges given in Table 5. However, these sulfides are Ir-poor with an average concentration of only 0.6 ppm. The highest Pd concentrations identified overall are 371 ppm and 570 ppm occurring in two other BMS mixtures consisting of pentlandite-pyrite and pentlandite-pyrite-chalcopyrite, respectively.

Discussion

This investigation into the PGE mineralization in the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion has revealed several interesting results that require discussion. These include: the variation from predominately PGE tellurides in the central zone to a combination of PGE-bearing tellurides and arsenides in the northern and southern zones (margins) of the intrusion, as well the overall genesis of these PGM; the formation of BMS stringers and their associated PGM; the formation of high PGE tenor sulfides in a system with relatively low PGE concentrations; and the origin of significant IPGE, Rh, and high Co concentrations in pyrite.

Formation of Te- and As-bearing PGM
The PGE-bearing tellurides are typically rounded and lath shaped, and probably formed via the exsolution of Pt, Pd, Ni, and Te from BMS (Knight et al., 2011) as suggested for these minerals both experimentally (Peregoedova et al., 2004) and naturally during the slow cooling intrusions (Barnes et al., 2008). Alternatively, Knight et al. (2011) suggested that these PGM crystallized directly from a late stage fractionated semimetal-rich liquid into which Pt, Pd, and excess Ni were concentrated during the crystallization of monosulfide solid solution (MSS) and intermediate solid solution (ISS). This suggestion is based on the incompatibility of these PGE with MSS (e.g., Fleet et al., 1993; Li et al., 1996; Ballhaus et al., 2001; Mungall et al., 2005) and ISS (Peregoedova, 1998), particularly in the presence of semimetals, specifically Te (Helmy et al., 2007; Holwell and McDonald, 2007; Hutchinson and McDonald, 2008; Helmy et al., 2010).

The timing of formation of the As-bearing PGM (sperrylite and members of the hollingworthite-irarsite-platarsite solid solution series) in the Santa Rita marginal ore zone deserves consideration (Fig. 8 and 10). These types of As-bearing PGM when observed completely enclosed by silicates and oxides are often interpreted to have crystallized early, directly from an immiscible sulfide melt and are then trapped in these later crystallizing phases (e.g., Coghill and Wilson, 1993; Hutchinson and McDonald, 2008; McDonald, 2008; Dare et al., 2010a). However, these PGM may maintain an association with BMS if, for instance, they do not cleanly separate from the sulfide liquid from which they have crystallized. This may have occurred in the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion where the majority of these PGM remain associated with BMS (Fig. 10A-D) and could explain why some sperrylite crystals are much larger than their host sulfides. In these cases, exsolution of PGE from the small BMS host could not account for PGM formation (Fig. 10C). It should be noted, however, that the observation of PGM larger than their host sulfide is two-dimensional and potentially not reliable. Sperrylite, where observed in primary magmatic BMS stringers, may have formed via exsolution from the sulfides during cooling; it is unlikely that they crystallized early in stringer form before the crystallization of the silicates (Fig. 10D-E).

The spatial variation in sperrylite and irarsite across the intrusion whereby these As-bearing PGM are restricted to the northern and southern margins may be explained by the possible addition of As from the surrounding country rocks via crustal assimilation. Crustal assimilation is a widely accepted process by which sulfide saturation can be achieved in ultramafic-mafic systems evidenced by: non-mantle sulfur isotopes ratios (e.g., Noril’sk and Duluth; Ripley, 1981; Ripley and Aljassar, 1987; Li et al., 2003); the association of magmatic sulfides with xenoliths (e.g., Voisey’s Bay and Duluth; Ripley and Alawi, 1986; Mariga et al., 2006); and the association of sulfide ores with evaporites (e.g., Noril’sk; Naldrett et al., 1992). Recently, this process has been examined in detail at Duluth by Samalens et al. (2017), who found that the contamination of the mafic magma by both sulfur and the semimetals results from the transfer of sulfide droplets from country rock xenoliths in a mobile, silicate partial melt.

Increased As concentrations have been linked to the local assimilation of country rocks (Ames and Farrow, 2007), and crustal assimilation is thought to be responsible for the localized crystallization of As-bearing PGM in both the Creighton deposit, Sudbury (Dare et al., 2010a), and the Platreef in the Bushveld Complex (Hutchinson and McDonald, 2008). The distribution of As-bearing PGM in the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion is also localized and restricted to the intrusion margins where the assimilation of country rocks may have occurred.
during a period of limited magma mixing. The immediate country rocks to the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion include metamorphosed black shales (now present as graphite- and pyrite-bearing gneisses) which are often considered to be sources of As, S, and other semimetals (e.g., Hutchinson and McDonald, 2008). Although there is no direct evidence for the assimilation of As-bearing crustal rocks at Fazenda Mirabela, such as the presence of sulfarsenides or As-bearing PGM concentrated around crustal xenoliths, it is difficult to understand how the distinct zoning of As in the intrusion could have been achieved otherwise. It is possible that the syn-hydrothermal processes associated with the heat of magma emplacement resulted in the devolatilization of the country rocks and subsequent release of As (Hutchinson and McDonald, 2008) which was then introduced into the intrusion margins. This process would not require large amounts of assimilation via melting of the country rocks.

BMS stringers and associated PGM

Sulfide stringers with associated PGM extending from interstitial BMS characterize the mineralization in the Santa Rita ore zone. It appears that both the BMS and PGE have been distributed together into these stringers which originate from the interstitial BMS, as suggested by their close association.

One possible explanation for the formation of these sulfide stringers and their associated PGM is that they are the result of post-magmatic hydrothermal remobilization. At low temperatures (<500°C), PGE ions will most likely complex with bisulfide (HS⁻), however, bisulfide complexes are incapable of redistributing significant amounts of PGE unless fluid/rock ratios are very high with very effective depositional mechanisms (Hanley, 2005). In contrast, at high temperatures (>500°C), chloride complexes are more likely to form (Hanley 2005). However, experiments by Wood and Normand (2008) demonstrate that unrealistically large amounts of oxidizing and acidic fluids are required to overcome the acid- and redox-buffering capacity of mafic, and by proxy ultramafic lithologies in order to mobilize palladium as a chloride complex.

It has been demonstrated that hydrothermal fluids may liberate PGE from their BMS hosts resulting in the formation of PGM. However, it is rare that the PGE are extensively remobilized and they are usually only transported very short distances (micrometers) in most cases (e.g., Prichard et al. 1994; Wang et al. 2008; Dare et al. 2010a; Prichard et al. 2013). Sulfur is typically much more mobile than the PGE, and in many examples where BMS and PGE have been affected by hydrothermal alteration, the BMS are remobilized or altered, resulting in either the exsolution of PGE in situ to form PGM associated with BMS or secondary phases (e.g., Prichard et al. 1994; Godel and Barnes, 2008a; 2008b; Prichard et al. 2013), or the isolation of preexisting PGM in secondary phases (e.g., Sá et al., 2005). However, the opposite has also been demonstrated in the Platreef, where late stage fluids have remobilized the PGE into the footwall in tremolite, talc, and serpentine as low temperature PGM assemblages that are devoid of sulfur (Armitage et al., 2002; Hutchinson and Kinnaird, 2005). The Waterberg deposit, South Africa, which shows many features typical of a low pressure epithermal system (Armitage et al., 2007) is perhaps the only example where large scale PGE (platinum) remobilization has occurred and is an atypical case (McDonald et al., 1999).
There is some evidence to suggest that these BMS stringers and their associated PGM are the product of hydrothermal remobilization. Many of the BMS stringers are associated with serpentine (Fig. 9E) indicating that post-magmatic hydrothermal alteration is responsible for their formation. However, if the sulfides had been affected or remobilized by hydrothermal processes, their composition should include secondary sulfides or magnetite (e.g., Godel and Barnes, 2008a; 2008b; Prichard et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2014). This is not observed as the stringers are composed of pentlandite, pyrrhotite, and chalcopyrite. Many studies have demonstrated that Pd is far more mobile than Pt (e.g., Fuchs and Rose, 1974; Prichard et al., 1994; 2001; Seabrook et al., 2004; Barnes et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008; Suárez et al., 2010), thus one might expect Pd-bearing PGM to be dominant in these sulfide stringers. However, the PGM in the stringers are similar to those in the interstitial BMS including both Pt-bearing tellurides (Fig. 9E-F) and sperrylite (Fig. 10D-E). Therefore there is no evidence for the preferential remobilization of Pd over Pt. Furthermore, semimetal-bearing PGM are very insoluble compounds (Wood, 2002). It is therefore very unlikely that preexisting PGM associated with the interstitial sulfides have been remobilized into the sulfide stringers in the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion.

An alternative mode of formation for these BMS stringers and their associated PGM is that they represent the migration and crystallization of late-stage, PGE-bearing, fractionated sulfide liquids. This has been proposed for the formation of PGM-bearing veinlets observed in the Stillwater Complex (Zientek, 2002) and emanating from an immiscible sulfide bleb in a dyke in Uruguay (Prichard et al., 2004b). However, the composition of these stringers should be dominated by the recrystallization products of ISS such as chalcopyrite and other Cu-rich sulfides given the known fractionation of sulfide liquids (e.g., Hawley, 1965; Keays and Crocket, 1970; Naldrett et al., 1982; Holwell and McDonald, 2010), but pentlandite and pyrrhotite stringers are commonly observed (Fig. 9E).

A mechanical process whereby the sulfide liquid was compressed by the gravity settling and compaction of crystallizing silicates in the magma chamber best accounts for all observed features of the BMS stringers and their associated PGM. This compression forced a portion of the sulfide liquid, which at this point was coalescing as interstitial blebs (Fig. 18A-B), outwards through the crystal pile in the direction of least resistance along the boundaries of olivine and pyroxene crystals, either replacing any interstitial silicate melt or migrating through solidified silicates along their grain boundaries (Mungall and Su, 2005) giving rise to the observed alignment of the sulfide stringers. In some cases, it appears that the sulfide liquid has fractured crystallized silicates during its migration offsetting preexisting cracks (Fig. 9F). The unfractionated sulfide liquid then cooled and crystallized to form MSS and ISS in situ at sites interstitial to silicates and in stringers (Fig. 18C). This accounts for the primary composition of the stringers and the consistent chemistry of both the sulfides and their associated PGM across both the interstitial sulfides and BMS stringers (Fig. 18D).

Variation in PGM abundance and the origin of high tenor BMS

In the Santa Rita ore zone, semimetals are readily available (Fig. 7) resulting in the formation of abundant PGM, ~17 PGM per sample (thin section) studied, leaving the BMS PGE-poor (Table 5) as these elements exsolved from the sulfides during cooling to form PGM. The basal PGE anomaly in the S-poor dunite is semimetal-poor (Fig. 7), therefore
PGM do not readily form, with only ~5 PGM observed per sample (thin section) and the balance of PGE is retained in solid solution in high tenor sulfides (Table 5).

In the S- and semimetal-poor dunite, micro-scale sulfide-silicate graphic textures, very high PGE tenors, and an unusual BMS assemblage (pentlandite with minor chalcopyrite) cannot be explained by normal magmatic processes, particularly when compared to the Santa Rita ore zone. In an updated interpretation from that of Knight et al. (2011), we propose that small volumes of a magmatically derived, high temperature, volatile-rich (evidenced by the presence of phlogopite associated with sulfides exhibiting micro-scale sulfide-silicate graphic textures) fluid with a high oxygen fugacity ($f_{O_2}$) interacted with the sulfides in the dunite after the crystallization of MSS, but before the crystallization of ISS. This interaction resulted in the removal of significant amounts of Cu-rich fractionated sulfide liquid into which Pt, Au, and the semimetals preferentially partitioned during the crystallization of MSS (Fig. 19A), whereas the IPGE, Rh, and Pd partitioned into MSS as it crystallized (e.g., Fleet et al., 1993; Li et al., 1996; Ballhaus et al., 2001; Mungall et al., 2005; Barnes et al., 2006; Godel et al., 2007; Helmy et al., 2007; Holwell and McDonald, 2007; Helmy et al., 2010; Osbahr et al., 2013; Cafagna and Jugo, 2016). These high temperature fluids appear to have redistributed the Cu-rich liquid (including the Pt, Au, and semimetals therein; Fig. 19B), upwards into the Santa Rita ore zone, evidenced by the abundance of Te-bearing PGM and the consistent increase in Pt and Au concentrations relative to the other PGE (Fig. 5 and 6). The continued interaction of this magmatic fluid with crystallized MSS appears to have removed sulfide via melting or dissolution of Fe-sulfide by oxidizing the Fe to Fe$_3$O$_4$ while removing S as SO$_2$ or H$_2$S, resulting in the formation of magnetite (Fig. 19B) and other secondary minerals (Kinloch, 1982; Andersen, 2006) while creating the micro-scale sulfide-silicate graphic textures observed. The removal of Fe-sulfide resulted in Ni concentrations increasing in the remaining MSS, explaining the presence of pentlandite at the expense of pyrrhotite. The formation of PGM from MSS was extremely limited due to the removal of semimetals. Instead PGE remained in solid solution giving rise to high IPGE, Rh and Pd tenors in MSS, and subsequently pentlandite as it exsolved. During cooling, any remaining Cu-rich fractionated sulfide liquid crystallized to form ISS. Minor Au-Ag-Cu alloys and Te-bearing PGM exsolved on further cooling, while Pt diffused from ISS/chalcopyrite into pentlandite (Fig. 19C; Dare et al., 2010b; Piña et al., 2011).

These high temperature magmatic fluids appear to have interacted pervasively throughout the dunite, affecting all sulfides in this zone to some extent. However, there is evidence that this fluid was concentrated or channelized in some parts of the intrusion evidenced by the Pd-Cu alloy assemblage observed in borehole MBS604. In this localized basal zone of the intrusion, almost all of the fractionated Cu-rich sulfide liquid, including the Pt, Au, and semimetals therein, was removed (Fig. 19D) resulting in the formation of PGE-bearing alloys (predominantly Pd-Cu phases) in the absence of semimetals (Fig. 19E-F). The formation of alloys by desulfurization in other PGE-bearing systems has been reported, and is usually interpreted as a result of PGE being forced to exsolve during sulfur loss (Kinloch, 1982, Andersen, 2006; Li and Ripley, 2006; Godel and Barnes, 2008a). One would expect that sulfides hosting Pd-Cu alloys in the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion would therefore be depleted in Pd (e.g., Godel and Barnes, 2008a), however, it is impossible to test this by LA-ICP-MS without also ablating these alloys.
The presence of Pd in pentlandite

Many natural and experimental studies suggest that Pd partitions with Pt and Au into fractionated sulfide melts during the crystallization of MSS and ISS (e.g., Fleet et al., 1993; Li et al., 1996; Pregoedova, 1998; Ballhaus et al., 2001; Mungall et al., 2005), however, significant concentrations of Pd are commonly identified in pentlandite. Some researchers have suggested that Pd may partition into a Cu-rich liquid, either ISS or a late stage immiscible sulfide melt (Barnes et al., 2006) and that it subsequently diffuses into pentlandite from chalcopyrite during cooling via subsolidus exchange (Dare et al., 2010b; Piña et al., 2011). However, this does not explain high Pd concentrations in pentlandite crystals that are isolated from other BMS where this diffusion process cannot occur (e.g., Osbahr et al., 2013) and recent experimental work demonstrates that in the absence of metalloid-rich phases, Pd partitions preferentially into MSS (Cafagna and Jugo, 2016). Significant concentrations of Pd must partition into MSS at an early magmatic stage to account for high Pd concentrations in isolated pentlandite crystals (Osbahr et al., 2013). In the S-poor dunite zone of the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion, it is clear that Pt, Au, and semimetals have been lost through their partitioning into a fractionated Cu-rich sulfide liquid which was then largely removed by high temperature magmatic fluids and redistributed into the Santa Rita ore zone above. However, Pd was not removed during this process which suggests that it partitioned into MSS as it crystallized from the sulfide liquid, and not into the fractionated Cu-rich sulfide liquid. The partitioning of Pd directly into MSS and subsequently pentlandite as it recrystallizes, eliminates the need to invoke Pd diffusion from fractionated Cu-rich sulfides.

IPGE and cobalt in pyrite

Concentrations of IPGE and Co in pyrite are being increasingly recognized and are again identified in pyrite from the Santa Rita ore zone in the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion. Cobalt concentrations in particular are very high and much more enriched when compared to the Co concentrations measured in pentlandite, pyrrhotite, and chalcopyrite. The discovery of PGE in pyrite has been noted previously with Pd identified in pyrite in the Keivitsansarvi Ni-Cu-PGE deposit in northern Finland (Gervilla and Kojonen, 2002), and Ru and Pt identified in pyrite in the Main Sulfide Zone of the Great Dyke in Zimbabwe (Oberthür et al., 1997). However, the genesis of such concentrations was not discussed in detail until recently.

Secondary pyrite commonly replaces pyrrhotite and pentlandite during post-magmatic hydrothermal alteration and it may inherit the PGE concentrations of these magmatic sulfides (Dare et al. 2011; Piña et al. 2012; 2013; Smith 2014; Duran et al. 2015; Piña et al., 2016). In these cases, the PGE concentrations in pyrite match those of the pyrrhotite and pentlandite it has replaced.

Alternatively, magmatic pyrite may exsolve from S-rich MSS in small quantities at temperatures below ~700°C (Naldrett et al., 1967). Pyrite that hosts higher concentrations of some PGE (typically the IPGE) than coexisting pyrrhotite and pentlandite is suggested to be magmatic, as it has not simply inherited the PGE content of the sulfides that secondary pyrite would have replaced (Lorand and Alard, 2011; Dare et al., 2011; Piña et al., 2012). However, these studies of PGE-bearing pyrite are in areas that have undergone extensive alteration, creating uncertainty in the process of pyrite formation; for example, the origin of PGE-
bearing idiomorphic pyrite in the Aguablanca Ni-Cu-PGE deposit is uncertain and may be either an exsolution product of MSS or the alteration product of pyrrhotite (Piña et al., 2012). Furthermore, in the McCreedy East deposit at Sudbury, oscillatory zoning is observed in magmatic pyrite hosting higher IPGE concentrations than coexisting pyrrhotite and pentlandite, all of which are interpreted to have exsolved from MSS (Dare et al., 2011). However, this zoning is also considered a feature of secondary mineral replacement reactions, whereby pyrrhotite has been converted to pyrite (Duran et al., 2015). The enrichment of Co, Rh ± IPGE in pyrite could also be explained by a process of fluid-assisted solid-state diffusion from surrounding pentlandite and pyrrhotite, with semimetals, Pd, and Au introduced by the circulation of altering fluids (Piña et al., 2013; Duran et al., 2015).

Recent experimental work shows that pyrite exsolves from MSS during the cooling of a sulfide melt if the bulk S content is sufficiently high, and may incorporate significant amounts of Co, Ni, Ru, Rh, Os, Ir, and Pt, exhibiting a complex zonation of these elements similar to that observed in some natural occurrences (Cafagna and Jugo, 2016). This zoning is likely preserved due to slow diffusion rates in pyrite and can form during subsolidus reactions involving both MSS and ISS in the absence of hydrothermal processes (Cafagna and Jugo, 2016).

Several lines of evidence suggest that the pyrite in the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion is primary and has exsolved from MSS. Unlike other occurrences where PGE ± Co bearing pyrite has been identified, the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion is almost completely unaltered with no textural or chemical evidence for any significant alteration or secondary sulfide remobilization in the Santa Rita ore zone. This is also evidenced by euhedral pyrite occurring in the cores of interstitial sulfides intergrown with pentlandite (Fig. 4C, 10A, 10C, and 11F). Secondary pyrite would typically replace pyrrhotite at the edges of sulfide blebs which is not observed. Furthermore, pyrite hosts individual IPGE in greater concentrations than both pyrrhotite and pentlandite (excluding Ru in pentlandite which has an average of 0.9 ppm compared to 0.8 ppm in pyrite) suggesting that it has not simply replaced these sulfides and inherited their PGE content; this is also supported by the Pd-poor nature of pyrite. If pyrite had replaced pentlandite (commonly found intergrown with pyrite), one would expect pyrite to host Pd concentrations similar to that of pentlandite. The zoning of PGE in pyrite whereby these elements are enriched in the rim where in contact with other BMS and depleted in the core may suggest that the PGE have diffused from adjacent sulfides, with zoning preserved due to very slow diffusion rates of Os (and presumably the other PGE) in pyrite (Brenan et al., 2000; Cafagna and Jugo, 2016). However, such zoning is not observed in LA-ICP-MS data where laser traces cut rim-core sections of pyrite crystals (Fig. 17A), suggesting that the IPGE and Co have immediately partitioned into pyrite during its exsolution from MSS. This study, amongst others, suggests that primary magmatic pyrite should be considered as a potential host for the IPGE and Rh, as well as Co, particularly in a system with high PGE tenors (e.g., a PGE-reef type setting).

**Conclusions**

The understanding of PGE mineralization in the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion has been greatly improved by this study using a combination of geochemical and mineralogical...
analyses with more than 700 platinum-group and precious metal minerals identified. The
results from this work have wider implications for the behavior of PGE during the
crystallization of immiscible sulfide melts.

During the crystallization of MSS in the S-poor dunite, Pt, Au, and the semimetals
partitioned into a Cu-rich fractionated sulfide liquid which was subsequently largely removed
and redistributed into the Santa Rita ore zone by high temperature magmatic fluids in a syn-
magmatic process. This removal of semimetals significantly limited PGM formation in the S-
poor dunite resulting in high PGE tenors in the remaining MSS which is poor in Pt, Au, and
semimetals, but enriched in the IPGE, Rh, and Pd. This suggests that Pd does not partition
into a Cu- or semimetal-rich fractionated melt as commonly suggested by experimental
studies, and instead preferentially partitions into MSS. This explains the significant
concentrations of Pd commonly identified in pentlandite without the need to invoke
subsolidus diffusion from Cu-sulfides during cooling.

It is increasingly recognized that pyrite can host significant concentrations of PGE.
However, the origin of such pyrite is not clear in the studies completed to date, in which the
sulfide-bearing igneous rocks are invariably altered. This PGE-bearing pyrite may be of
primary magmatic origin where it exsolves from MSS during cooling, or it can be secondary,
replacing primary sulfides such as pyrrhotite and pentlandite. Pyrite in the Fazenda Mirabela
intrusion is of unambiguous primary magmatic origin and appears to have exsolved from
MSS during cooling evidenced by the lack of pervasive alteration, and the preservation of
primary magmatic textures and chemistry throughout the intrusion. Furthermore, the IPGE
and Co have partitioned into pyrite during its exsolution and have not diffused into pyrite
from adjacent BMS evidenced by the lack of zoning that would be observed due to very slow
PGE diffusion rates in pyrite. This study demonstrates that the IPGE and Co will
preferentially partition into pyrite over pentlandite and pyrrhotite during their exsolution from
MSS and that pyrite should not necessarily be disregarded as a PGE-barren sulfide.

Finally, the distribution of As-bearing PGM, with sperrylite and irarsite restricted to
the northern and southern margins of the intrusion, is consistent with As incorporation from
the country rocks either via crustal assimilation or leaching during syn-magmatic
hydrothermal processes associated with the heat of magma emplacement.
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Table Captions:

Table 1: Depth, dip and azimuth information for all boreholes sampled from the central, northern and southern zones of the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion.

Table 2: Whole-rock semimetal and S concentrations for all samples studied from the southern zone boreholes MBS565 and MBS569. Abbreviations: Ol = olivine.

Table 3: Numbers of different platinum-group and precious metal minerals, sorted by type, identified from each zone of the intrusion (central, northern and southern) with the localized Pd-Cu alloy assemblage listed separately. Abbreviations: - = not detected

Table 4: Selective quantitative analyses and derived formulae of platinum-group and precious metal minerals from the northern and southern zones of the intrusion. Abbreviations: - = none observed

Table 5: Laser ablation-ICP-MS data for chalcopyrite, pentlandite, pyrrhotite, pyrite and BMS mixtures from the Santa Rita ore zone and basal PGE anomaly. Abbreviations: Cpy = chalcopyrite, Pn = pentlandite, Po = pyrrhotite, Py = pyrite, n = number of minerals analyzed, Ave = mean, Min = minimum value, Max = maximum value. * indicates isotopes where corrections have been applied for polyatomic or isobaric interferences.

Figure Captions:

Fig. 1. A. Map showing the location of the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion and simplified geology of the southern portion of the Itabuna-Salvador-Curaçá belt (modified after Barbosa et al., 2003; Barbosa and Sabaté, 2004). B. Map showing context and location of A within the major South American tectonic units. AC = Amazonian Craton; SF = São Francisco Craton.

Fig. 2. Geological map of the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion showing the location (projected to the surface) of the boreholes sampled; MBS209 and MBS158 from the northern zone, MBS604 and MBS605 from the central zone, and MBS565 and MBS569 from the southern zone (modified after Inwood et al., 2011). The W-E geological section, representative of the central zone of the intrusion, shows the location of borehole MBS604 (modified after Ferreira Filho et al., 2013).

Fig. 3. Geochemical profile of borehole MBS565 (southern zone) showing the position of the samples studied. The Santa Rita ore zone is defined by elevated S, Pt, and Pd concentrations (samples PTSR51-56). The basal PGE anomaly is present in dunite (samples PTSR58-60) and is characterized by elevated PGE, predominantly Pd, while remaining S-poor.

Fig. 4. Transmitted and reflected light photomicrographs, and back-scattered electron images showing the silicate and sulfide petrography of the Mirabela intrusion. A and B. Olivine and orthopyroxene with interstitial BMS demonstrating unaltered magmatic textures and minerals. C. Detailed image of BMS showing the relationship between pentlandite, euhedral pyrite, and chalcopyrite. D. Example of small finely disseminated sulfides in the S-poor dunite hosting the basal PGE anomaly. E and inset F. Example of micro-scale sulfide-silicate graphic textures resembling symplectites. BMS = base metal sulfides, Cpy = chalcopyrite, Cr = chromite, Opx = orthopyroxene, Ol = olivine, Pn = pentlandite, Py = pyrite, Sil = silicates.
Fig. 5. Diagram showing the variation in chondrite normalized PGE profiles within the Fazenda Mirabela stratigraphy using samples from borehole MBS569. Pattern A. Negative Pd anomalies observed in the Santa Rita ore zone. Pattern B. Positive slopes without any significant anomalies in the transition between the Santa Rita ore zone and basal PGE anomaly. Pattern C. Positive Pd and negative Au (and Pt) anomalies in S-poor dunite samples from the basal PGE anomaly.

Fig. 6. PGE ratio plots showing the variation in Pt, Pd, and Au concentrations between orthopyroxenite-harzburgite samples typically hosting the Santa Rita ore zone and S-poor dunite samples hosting the basal PGE anomaly. A. Variation in Pt/Pd ratios in dunite samples from the basal PGE anomaly and overlying orthopyroxenite samples. B. Variation in Au/Pd ratios in dunite samples from the basal PGE anomaly and overlying orthopyroxenite samples.

Fig. 7. Plot demonstrating the significant correlation between Te (as a proxy for all semimetals) and S in whole-rock samples from boreholes MBS565 and MBS569 in the southern zone of the intrusion.

Fig. 8. Schematic diagram showing the location of the different PGM assemblages identified; (i) predominately (Pt,Pd,Ni)(Fe,Bi,Te)\(_2\) in the central zone, (ii) (Pt,Pd,Ni,Cu)(Fe,Bi,Te)\(_2\) with As-bearing PGM at the margins of the intrusion, and (iii) the localized Pd-Cu alloy assemblage in the S-poor dunite in borehole MBS604 only.

Fig. 9. Representative back-scattered electron images showing PGE tellurides from the northern and southern zones of the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion. A. Rounded Pd-Pt telluride within chalcopyrite. B. Rounded Ni-Pd telluride within pentlandite. C. Lath shaped Ni-Pt-Pd telluride within BMS, and crossing pyrite and chalcopyrite. D. Lath shaped Pt telluride at the edge of chalcopyrite and in contact with pyroxene. E. Pyrrhotite stringer hosting Pt-Ni-Fe telluride, both enclosed by a serpentine veinlet cutting olivine. F. Chalcopyrite stringer connecting to an interstitial sulfide bleb (pentlandite) hosting Ni-Pt-Pd telluride. Cpy = chalcopyrite, Ol = olivine, Pn = pentlandite, Po = pyrrhotite, Py = pyrite, Pyx = pyroxene, Serp = serpentine.

Fig. 10. Representative back-scattered electron images showing As-bearing PGM from the northern and southern zones of the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion. A. Sperrylite within pentlandite. B. Sperrylite within and at the edge of pentlandite and in contact with pyroxene. C. Sperrylite associated with chalcopyrite, pyroxene and serpentine. D. Partial sperrylite stringer at the termination of an interstitial sulfide bleb. E. Sperrylite associated with BMS stringer (out of frame) cutting pyroxene. F. Platarsite-irarsite within pentlandite associated with pyrite. Cpy = chalcopyrite, Cr = chromite, Pn = pentlandite, Py = pyrite, Pyx = pyroxene, PtAs\(_2\) = sperrylite, (Pt,Ir)AsS = platarsite-irarsite, Serp = serpentine.

Fig. 11. Ternary diagram showing the variation in Ni, Pd, and Pt concentrations in PGE-tellurides. Atomic weight proportions plotted from semi-quantitative data derived from the SEM.

Fig. 12. Representative back-scattered electron images showing accessory phases in the Santa Rita ore zone and PGM from the localized Pd-Cu alloy assemblage in the basal PGE anomaly in the central zone (MBS604 only). A. Hessite within and at the margins of pentlandite, and in contact with pyroxene. B. Hessite within and at the margins of pentlandite and pyrrhotite, and in contact with serpentine. C. Electrum with Cu and Fe within and at the edge of
chalcopyrite, and in contact with olivine and serpentine. D. Native Au within serpentine. E. Native and inset F. Pd-Cu alloys within pentlandite exhibiting micro-scale sulfide-silicate graphic textures that resemble symplectites. Ag₂Te = hessite, Cpy = chalcopyrite, Cr = chromite, Ol = olivine, Mgt = magnetite, Pn = pentlandite, Po = pyrrhotite, Pyx = pyroxene, Serp = serpentine.

Fig. 13. Histograms showing the percentage of different platinum-group and precious metal minerals types identified at different textural sites. A. All zones of the intrusion. B. The northern and southern zones of the intrusion only. The addition of central zone data does not significantly alter the histogram pattern demonstrating that the mineral associations are very similar, except in the case of the Pd-Cu alloy assemblage that is absent in the marginal zones.

Fig. 14. Pie charts showing the distribution of different platinum-group and precious metal minerals in the central zone versus the northern and southern zones of the intrusion. A and B. Proportion of the different PGM and PMM identified in the central zone (excluding the Pd-Cu alloy assemblage) calculated by the number and total area observed, respectively. C and D. Proportion of the different PGM and PMM identified in the northern and southern zones calculated by the number and total area observed, respectively. E and F. Proportion of the different PGM and PMM identified in the localized Pd-Cu alloy assemblage calculated by the number and total area observed, respectively.

Fig. 15. Histogram showing the difference in platinum-group and precious metal mineral size (in μm²) from the Santa Rita ore zone and the basal PGE anomaly in the S-poor dunite.

Fig. 16. Stacked column plots showing the average concentrations of PGE in different sulfides determined by LA-ICP-MS. A. Sulfides analyzed from the Santa Rita ore zone. B. Sulfides analyzed from the basal PGE anomaly in the S-poor dunite.

Fig. 17. Plots of time resolved spectra from LA-ICP-MS traces through BMS from the Fazenda Mirabela intrusion. A. Pyrite-pentlandite from the Santa Rita ore zone with pyrite hosting significant Co, Os, and Ru. B. Pentlandite from the basal PGE anomaly in the S-poor dunite hosting high concentrations of Ir, Pd, and Pt.

Fig. 18. Schematic diagram demonstrating the formation of BMS stringers and their associated PGM. Temperatures are based on the crystallization temperatures of MSS and ISS, and exsolution temperatures of pentlandite, pyrrhotite, and chalcopyrite. A. Sulfide liquid coalesces as interstitial blebs to olivine and pyroxene. B. Compression from the growing silicate crystal pile forces the sulfide liquid into stringers along silicate grain boundaries in the direction of least resistance. C. The sulfide liquid crystallizes to form MSS and ISS. D. These phases re-crystallize to form pentlandite, pyrrhotite, pyrite, and chalcopyrite during subsolidus cooling. PGM exsolve from interstitial sulfides and BMS stringers during further cooling. Ol = olivine, Pn = pentlandite, Po = pyrrhotite, Py = pyrite, Pyx = pyroxene.

Fig. 19. Schematic diagram showing the formation of micro-scale sulfide-silicate graphic textures resembling symplectites and associated PGM from the basal PGE anomaly in the S-poor dunite. Temperatures are based on the crystallization temperatures of MSS and ISS, and exsolution temperatures of pentlandite, pyrrhotite, and chalcopyrite. A. Platinum, Au, and the semimetals partition into a fractionated Cu-rich sulfide liquid that forms during the crystallization of MSS. B. Small volumes of high temperature, volatile-rich, magmatic fluid with a high oxygen fugacity (fO₂) removes a significant portion of this Cu-rich liquid
including the Pt, Au, and semimetals therein (and redistributes these elements into the
overlying Santa Rita ore zone) replacing it with magnetite and phlogopite while the
remainder crystallizes to form minor ISS. Further fluid/MSS interaction results in the
formation of micro-scale sulfide-silicate graphic textures and FeS is partially-totally replaced
by magnetite. C. Pentlandite and minor chalcopyrite recrystallizes from MSS and ISS,
respectively, and small numbers of PGE-bearing minerals exsolve from the sulfides utilizing
any remaining semimetals during subsolidus cooling; the majority of PGE are retained in
solid solution in high tenor sulfides. D. In MBS604, high temperature fluids are channelized
and almost all of the Cu-rich sulfide liquid is removed and replaced with phlogopite, minor
bornite and magnetite. E. Further fluid/MSS interaction results in the formation of micro-
scale sulfide-silicate graphic textures and FeS is partially-totally replaced by magnetite. F.
Pentlandite recrystallizes from MSS and PGE exsolve from this sulfide phase primarily in the
form of alloys in the absence of semimetals. Bn = bornite, Cpy = chalcopyrite, Mgt =
magnetite, Ol = olivine, Phlog = phlogopite, Pn = pentlandite.
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borehole</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Dip (°)</th>
<th>Azimuth (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MBS604</td>
<td>1072</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS605</td>
<td>1067</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS209</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS158</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS565</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS569</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borehole</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>As ppm</th>
<th>Bi ppm</th>
<th>Sb ppm</th>
<th>Te ppm</th>
<th>S wt. %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MBS565</td>
<td>PTSR0050</td>
<td>Websterite</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS565</td>
<td>PTSR0051</td>
<td>Orthopyroxenite</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS565</td>
<td>PTSR0052</td>
<td>Orthopyroxenite</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS565</td>
<td>PTSR0053</td>
<td>Ol Orthopyroxenite</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS565</td>
<td>PTSR0054</td>
<td>Harzburgite</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS565</td>
<td>PTSR0055</td>
<td>Harzburgite</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS565</td>
<td>PTSR0056</td>
<td>Harzburgite</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS565</td>
<td>PTSR0057</td>
<td>Harzburgite</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS565</td>
<td>PTSR0058</td>
<td>Dunite</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS565</td>
<td>PTSR0059</td>
<td>Dunite</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS565</td>
<td>PTSR0060</td>
<td>Dunite</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS569</td>
<td>PTSR0061</td>
<td>Gabbronorite</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS569</td>
<td>PTSR0062</td>
<td>Websterite</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS569</td>
<td>PTSR0063</td>
<td>Orthopyroxenite</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS569</td>
<td>PTSR0064</td>
<td>Ol Orthopyroxenite</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS569</td>
<td>PTSR0065</td>
<td>Ol Orthopyroxenite</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS569</td>
<td>PTSR0066</td>
<td>Ol Orthopyroxenite</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS569</td>
<td>PTSR0067</td>
<td>Harzburgite</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS569</td>
<td>PTSR0068</td>
<td>Harzburgite</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS569</td>
<td>PTSR0069</td>
<td>Harzburgite</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS569</td>
<td>PTSR0070</td>
<td>Dunite</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS569</td>
<td>PTSR0071</td>
<td>Dunite</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS569</td>
<td>PTSR0072</td>
<td>Dunite</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>(Ni,Cu,Pt,Pd) (Fe,Bi,Te)$_2$</th>
<th>Ag-Pd-Te</th>
<th>Ag$_2$Te</th>
<th>(Pt,Fe,Rh)As$_2$</th>
<th>(Ir,Pt,Rh)AsS</th>
<th>Pd-(Cu,Pb)</th>
<th>Au-(Ag,Cu,Fe)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localised PGE alloy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>480</strong></td>
<td><strong>21</strong></td>
<td><strong>97</strong></td>
<td><strong>73</strong></td>
<td><strong>21</strong></td>
<td><strong>16</strong></td>
<td><strong>31</strong></td>
<td><strong>739</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borehole</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mineral No.</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>Pd</th>
<th>Ag</th>
<th>Te</th>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Bi</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MBS158</td>
<td>PTSR-40</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.97</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80.52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99.90 (Ni\textsubscript{0.01}Pd\textsubscript{0.01})\textsubscript{1.02}Te\textsubscript{1.98}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS158</td>
<td>PTSR-40</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69.40</td>
<td>21.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100.30 (Ni\textsubscript{0.56}Pt\textsubscript{0.04}Pd\textsubscript{0.03})\textsubscript{0.99}Te\textsubscript{2.01}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS605</td>
<td>PTSR-22</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70.07</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99.97 (Ni\textsubscript{0.71}Pt\textsubscript{0.19}Pd\textsubscript{0.13})\textsubscript{1.03}(Te\textsubscript{1.84}Fe\textsubscript{0.13})\textsubscript{1.97}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS604</td>
<td>PTSR-07</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66.36</td>
<td>13.21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99.22 (Ni\textsubscript{0.38}Pd\textsubscript{0.36}Pt\textsubscript{0.24})\textsubscript{0.98}(Te\textsubscript{1.85}Fe\textsubscript{0.17})\textsubscript{2.02}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS158</td>
<td>PTSR-46</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68.51</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100.13 (Ni\textsubscript{0.34}Pd\textsubscript{0.33}Pt\textsubscript{0.30})\textsubscript{0.97}(Te\textsubscript{1.97}Fe\textsubscript{0.06})\textsubscript{2.03}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS158</td>
<td>PTSR-40</td>
<td>K1</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>19.72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79.74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100.81 Ni\textsubscript{1.02}(Te\textsubscript{1.90}Fe\textsubscript{0.08})\textsubscript{1.98}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS158</td>
<td>PTSR-40</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>18.65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76.99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>99.99 Ni\textsubscript{0.99}(Te\textsubscript{1.88}Fe\textsubscript{0.00}Bi\textsubscript{0.04})\textsubscript{2.01}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS158</td>
<td>PTSR-46</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65.68</td>
<td>24.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100.47 (Pt\textsubscript{0.48}Ni\textsubscript{0.29}Pd\textsubscript{0.23})\textsubscript{1.00}Te\textsubscript{2.00}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS158</td>
<td>PTSR-40</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62.02</td>
<td>37.91</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99.93 Ag\textsubscript{1.98}Te\textsubscript{1.02}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS158</td>
<td>PTSR-40</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61.02</td>
<td>38.31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99.33 Ag\textsubscript{1.96}Te\textsubscript{1.04}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS565</td>
<td>PTSR-58</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42.60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99.72 Pt\textsubscript{1.02}As\textsubscript{1.98}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS569</td>
<td>PTSR-68</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44.27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56.67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100.94 Pt\textsubscript{0.99}As\textsubscript{2.01}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS569</td>
<td>PTSR-68</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34.62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65.93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100.55 Cu\textsubscript{1.03}Te\textsubscript{0.97}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral analyzed</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>$^{59}$Co (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{189}$Os (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{199}$Ir (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{99}$Ru$^*$ (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{103}$Rh$^*$ (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{195}$Pt (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{105}$Pd (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulfides from the Santa Rita ore zone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cpy</td>
<td>n=48</td>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>201.22</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>1887.00</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pn</td>
<td>n=95</td>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>3026.20</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>670.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>7313.00</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Po</td>
<td>n=36</td>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>65.57</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>615.20</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py</td>
<td>n=38</td>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>16671.29</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>8569.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>32030.00</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfides from the basal PGE anomaly in the S-poor dunite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cpy</td>
<td>n=3</td>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>515.71</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>18.84</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>1346.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pn</td>
<td>n=27</td>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>5913.33</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>2416.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>9761.00</td>
<td>38.25</td>
<td>28.69</td>
<td>59.13</td>
<td>36.15</td>
<td>28.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pn-Cpy mix</td>
<td>n=8</td>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>5561.50</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>1367.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>8910.00</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>11.48</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>14.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py</td>
<td>n=2</td>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>10915.00</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>9920.00</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>11910.00</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pn-Py mix</td>
<td>n=1</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>5750.00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>27.87</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pn-Py-Cpy mix</td>
<td>n=1</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>3245.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>18.68</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>6.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3

[Graph showing data with labels Mg (wt. %), Cr (wt. %), S (wt. %), Pt (ppm), Pd (ppm), Pd/Pt, Cu/Pd, with depth in meters on the y-axis ranging from 400 to 600. Legend includes symbols for Dunite, Harzburgite, Orthopyroxenite, Websterite, Gabbro and sample number (PTS)].
Fig. 6

A) Pd/Ru vs Pt/Pd

B) Pd/Ru vs Au/Pd

+ Orthopyroxenite
- Harzburgite
● Dunite
Fig. 8

- Dunite
- Orthopyroxenite
- Gabbronorite
- Santa Rita ore zone
- Harzburgite
- Websterite
- Bore Hole

1. Predominantly (Pt,Pd,Ni)(Fe,Bi,Te)$_2$
2. (Pt,Pd,Ni,Cu)(Fe,Bi,Te)$_2$ with As-bearing PGM
3. Localized Pd-Cu alloy assemblage
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![Histogram showing distribution of PGM and PMM by size interval (µm²).](image)

- **Santa Rita ore zone**
- **Basal PGE anomaly**
Fig. 16

A) Average PGE in BMS (ppm)

B) Average PGE in BMS (ppm)

Sulfide mineral analyzed
Fig. 17

A) [Graph showing counts over time for different elements with labels: Gas Blank, Pyrite, Pentlandite]

B) [Graph showing counts over time for different elements with labels: Gas Blank, Pentlandite]
Fig. 18

A) Sulfide liquid

B) Compression from silicate pile

C) ISS, MSS

D) Cpy, Po, Pn, PGM

~1200°C

~1100°C

~900°C

~650-250°C
Fig. 19

A) Cu-rich sulfide liquid with Pt, Au and semimetals

B) Sulfide liquid partially removed

C) <650°C

D) Sulfide liquid removed

E) Fluid/melt-MSS interaction

F) Pd-Cu alloys